

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/589,767	Applicant(s) BLOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner John Kreck	Art Unit 3672	

All Participants:

(1) John Kreck.

(2) John Sheehan.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Bradley

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant to propose examienrs amendment to more clearly define over Bradley. Applciant agreed to amendment including adding "consisting essentially of" to claim 1.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)